

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,651 08/28/2001		Li Li	M4065.0159/P159-B	5524
24998	7590 04/24/2003			
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, BINH X	
	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{O}			
	Application No.	pplicant(s)				
	09/939,651	LI ET AL.	l			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Binh X Tran	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely communication			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 /	April 2003 .					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>92-108</u> is/are pending in the applicat						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>92-108</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Ab a Creamina				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		disapproved by the Exami	ner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		•	•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority under 00 0.0.0.	3 113(a)-(u) 51 (1).				
• - • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	s have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
_	•		al application)			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/939,651

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 92-108 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,335,292 in view of Yang et al. 6,426,016. The instant claims differ from the claims of US 6,335,292 by further specify that the oxide layer is formed over the substrate and the oxide layer is contact with the plasma etching gas. The step of forming the oxide layer over the substrate and exposing it to the plasma etching gas is very well know in the semiconductor art. In a semiconductor method, Yang discloses that the oxide layer (230) is formed over the substrate and it is in contact with the plasma etching gas. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify US 6,335,292 in view of Yang by forming an oxide layer over the

Application/Control Number: 09/939,651

Art Unit: 1765

substrate and expose it to the plasma etching gas because the oxide layer will help to an insulating layer to protect the substrate.

3. The following table will compare the claims between US 6,335,292 and present application (09/939,651).

<u>US 6,335,292 Claims</u>	Present application (09/939,651) Claims
. 1	92
2	93
3	94
4	95
5	96
6	97
7	98
8	99
9	100
10	101
11	102
12	103
13	104
14	105
15	106
18	107
19	108

Application/Control Number: 09/939,651

Art Unit: 1765

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 92-108 have been considered but

are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Binh X Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Benjamin L Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Binh X. Tran

April 22, 2003

BENJAMIN L. UTECH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4